# Exhibit 5

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

Disability Law Center,	
Plaintiff,	Case No.
V.	
Spencer Cox et al.	
Defendants.	

#### **DECLARATION OF JONATHAN GERALD MARTINIS, ESQ.**

- I, Jonathan Gerald Martinis, Esq., hereby declare and state as follows:
- 1. My name is Jonathan Gerald Martinis.
- 2. I have actual knowledge of the matters stated herein. If called to testify in this matter, I would testify truthfully and based on my expert opinion.

#### I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I am employed as the Senior Director for Law and Policy of the Burton Blatt Institute at Syracuse University (BBI), which is dedicated to improving the lives of people with disabilities across the United States and internationally. My Curriculum Vitae is attached.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am also a Project Director of the National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making (www.supporteddecisionmaking.org). However, I am participating in this case on my own time and have not used Syracuse, BBI, National Resource Center, or federal funds in this matter.

4. I regularly work with, present to, and advise people with disabilities, families, state agencies, public and private organizations, judges, attorneys, professionals, and others on guardianship, self-determination, and supporting people with disabilities.

#### A. Academic Work

- 5. I have presented on guardianship, self-determination, and supported decision-making to judges in Tennessee, South Carolina, Idaho, Nevada, Virginia, Texas, Georgia, Washington, DC, and Missouri. I have also provided training to people with disabilities, families and professionals including attorneys, Medicaid Waiver case managers, Adult Protective Services workers, teachers, medical and mental health professionals, Vocational Rehabilitation counselors, and others across the United States, including presentations to people with disabilities, family members, and professionals in Utah.
- 6. I have written or co-written over 60 journal books, articles, monographs, brochures, and other publications on guardianship issues.

#### **B.** Previous Legal Representation

- 7. I also regularly represent people with disabilities in guardianship and other proceedings.
- 8. For example, in 2013 I represented Margaret "Jenny" Hatch, a person with intellectual disabilities and a measured IQ of 49, in a trial to end her

guardianship. At trial, Ms. Hatch showed that she has the capacity to make her own decisions and direct her life, using supported decision-making. The court then found that Ms. Hatch did not meet the criteria for a guardianship, and re-instated her rights.<sup>2</sup> Ms. Hatch has lived without a guardian, and with support, in the years since.

- 9. In 2015, I was the lead author of an amicus brief to the Supreme Court of Texas in a case involving the guardianship of an individual with intellectual disabilities.<sup>3</sup>
- In 2016, I was lead counsel for Ryan King, a person with intellectual 10. disabilities and a measured IQ of under 40. Mr. King demonstrated that he has the capacity to make his own decisions and direct his life, with support from his family and others. The Court then terminated Mr. King's guardianship, and Mr. King has lived without a guardian, and with support, in the years since.<sup>4</sup>
- In 2021, I was lead counsel for Marie Bergum, a person with 11. intellectual disabilities, whose conservatorship was terminated based on her use of supported decision-making.<sup>5</sup> Ms. Bergum has lived without a guardian, and with support, in the years since.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ross and Ross v. Hatch, No. CWF-120000-426 (Va. Cir. Ct. 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In re Guardianship of Pers. & Est. of Ryan Keith Tonner, 513 S.W.3d 496 (Tex. 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In re Ryan Herbert King, No. INT 249 (D.C. Super. Ct. Prob. Div. 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In re Conservatorship of Marie Bergum, No. PR19PR00378 (Cal. Super. Ct. 2021).

12. I have also advised attorneys and parties in cases involving guardianship in New York, New Jersey, Tennessee, Wyoming, Missouri, Kansas, Kentucky, Virginia, Maryland, Washington, DC, Vermont, Ohio, California, Florida, Indiana, Rhode Island, and Maine.

#### C. Previous Testimony

- 13. I have submitted expert affidavits and/or reports in guardianship cases in Indiana, Tennessee, Florida, and Wyoming.<sup>6</sup>
- 14. I have also been appointed, qualified, and testified as an expert in guardianship cases.
- 15. I evaluated the respondent to a petition for guardianship, submitted a report, and was qualified and testified as an expert in a Michigan case, *In the Matter of Linda VanWormer*, Probate Court, Wayne County (MI) Case No: 2011-771094-DDc. At trial, I was qualified as an expert and opined that Ms. VanWormer effectively used supported decision-making to make her own decisions, and did not need a guardian. The Court declined to order Ms. VanWormer into guardianship, citing my report and testimony as persuasive.
- 16. I was appointed in two guardianship cases before the District ofColumbia Superior Court, Probate Division, to evaluate whether a person could use

<sup>6</sup> In re Guardianship of Jamie Lavonne Beck, No. 89CO1-1011-GU-025 (Ind. Cir. Ct. 2018); In re Guardianship of Tyler Borjas, No. 2017002056GD02 (Fla. Cir. Ct. 2020); Tennessee, Dep't of Human Servs. v. Story & Avery, No. 2022-CV-5 (Tenn. Ch. Ct. 2022); In re Guardianship & Conservatorship of Emery Scott Olson, No. 45-341 (Wyo. Dist. Ct. 2021); In re Guardianship & Conservatorship of Edward J. Lorenz, No. 48-543 (Wyo. Dist. Ct. 2022).

4

make their own decisions with supports, and to provide my opinions to the Court. In one case, after evaluating the Respondent and reporting my findings, I helped the parties reach a settlement resulting in the person's guardianship being terminated. In the other, I was qualified as an expert and testified at trial, giving my opinion that the person effectively used supports to make decisions and did not require guardianship, after which the Court terminated her guardianship.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Supported Decision-Making** D.

- I have also worked directly with individuals with disabilities to help 17. them develop and implement supported decision-making plans.
- 18. I led a study to empower people with intellectual disabilities to use supported decision-making and analyzed the impact on their quality of life. The study found that people with intellectual and developmental disabilities ("I/DD") who used supported decision-making demonstrated increased independence, improved decision-making skills, and enhanced quality of life.<sup>9</sup>

#### II. **EXPERT OPINIONS**

Many people seek guardianship because they believe it is the only A. option, without understanding the risks and alternatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In re Tanya Anisha Powell, No 2015 INT 529 (D.C. Super. Ct. 2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In re Tecora Micklel, No. 2015 INT 291 (D.C. Super. Ct. 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Martinis, J. & Beadnell, L. (2021). "I learned that I have a voice in my future: Summary, findings, and recommendations of the Virginia supported decision-making pilot project. (2021). Available at https://bbi.syr.edu/wp-content/uploads/application/pdf/2021 virginia SDM pilot project.pdf.

- 19. I have represented, advised, and opined on the abilities of dozens of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities who wish to change, avoid, or end guardianship.
- 20. In many of these instances, family members sought guardianship because they were told that it was the only way to stay involved in their adult child's life. A study I co-led concluded that schools and medical providers were top referrers of parents to guardianship proceedings.<sup>10</sup>
- 21. Many well-meaning family members seek guardianship because they believe it is the only option, without understanding the risks and alternatives. For example, many guardians do not realize that the court has the power to remove the parent but retain the guardianship, with someone else often a complete stranger as the guardian.
- 22. As another example, many guardians do not realize that the guardianship will outlive them. When a parent dies or becomes too ill to continue in the role as guardian, the guardianship will survive but with a new guardian. Here too, this guardian may be a complete stranger.
- 23. Of course, abuse and neglect can also occur within guardianships, and I have represented and opined on cases where this was occurring. Sadly, abuse and

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Jameson, M., Riesen, T., Polychronis, S., Trader, B., Mizner, S., Hoyle, D, & Martinis, J. (2015). Guardianship and the potential of supported decision-making for individuals with disabilities. *Research and Practice for People with Severe Disabilities*, 40(1), 1-16.

neglect are not limited to professional or unrelated guardians. Abuse and neglect can and do occur where guardians are close family members of the disabled person.

- B. There are many alternatives to guardianship which can be used by people with a wide range of disabilities, including people with significant intellectual and development disabilities ("I/DD").
- 24. Guardianship is not the only option for people with disabilities, including people with significant intellectual disabilities.
- 25. Other options include powers of attorney, representative payees, health care proxies and advance directives, and supported decision-making.
- 26. Each of these approaches allows the disabled person to maintain selfdetermination and rights as much as possible, and to expand support options.
- 27. I have worked directly with people who have IQ scores measured below 50, people who do not speak, and people who have challenging and complex behaviors and support needs, to establish and use supported decision-making agreements and avoid guardianship.
- 28. I have also looked at medical charts and diagnoses of many of these people. Many of them would readily be labeled as having "severe intellectual disability," yet have demonstrated, sometimes in contested judicial hearings, that they do not need guardians.

29. Research and scholarship support my observations that that people with I/DD can use supported decision-making in ways that are consistent with their unique abilities, needs, and preferences to make life decisions. <sup>11</sup> People with I/DD can and do use supports to decide how to manage their money, 12 whether to engage in social and romantic relationships, <sup>13</sup> and to consent to and take part in medical and mental health care<sup>14</sup> and legal representation.<sup>15</sup>

- C. Research and experience show that guardianship is associated with negative outcomes, and less protection, than self-determination.
- Guardianship is, by definition, a rights-restricting mechanism. It strips 30. a person of some or all of their self-determination and autonomy, and has been

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See e.g., Rosie Harding & Ezgi Taşcıoğlu, Supported decision-making from theory to practice: Implementing the right to enjoy legal capacity. 8 Societies 1, 12-15 (2018); Michelle Browning et al., A process of decision-making support: Exploring supported decision-making practice in Canada, 46 J. of Intell. & Developmental Disability 138, 139 (2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> THE CENTER FOR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION, SDM and Financial Decisions, https://supporteddecisions.org/gettingstarted-with-supported-decision-making/sdm-and-financial-decisions/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See e.g., Alexander Boni-Saenz, Sexuality and incapacity, 76 OHIO STATE L.J. 1201; Jasmine Harris, The Role of Support in Sexual Decision-Making for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 77 OHIO STATE L.J. 83 (2015); Lucy Series, Relationships, autonomy, and legal capacity: Mental capacity and support paradigms, 40 INT'L J.L. & PSYCH. 80 (2015); Simo Vehmas, Persons with profound intellectual disability and their right to sex, 34 DISABILITY & Soc'Y 519, 526-33 (2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See e.g., Gavin Enck, Healthcare decisions are always supported decisions, 21 AM. J. of BIOETHICS 29, 30 (2021) ("SDM is applicable to a wide range of patients"); ROBERT SAPOLSKCY, BEHAVE: THE BIOLOGY OF HUMANS AT OUR BEST AND WORST (2017); Kokanovic, et al., Supported decision-making from the perspective of mental health service users, family members supporting them, and mental health practitioners, 52 Australian & New Zealand J. OF PSYCHIATRY 826 (2018); Michelle Browning et al., Supported decision-making: Understanding how its conceptual link to legal capacity is influencing the development of practice, 1 RSCH. & PRAC. IN INTELL. & DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES 34 (2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See e.g., Piers Gooding et al., Supported decision-making in criminal proceedings: A sociolegal empirical study, 34 J. of Disability Pol'y Stud. 28 (2023).

described as a "civil death" and "the most punitive civil penalty that can be levied against an American citizen." <sup>17</sup>

- 31. There are, of course, times when it is appropriate for people to lose their right to make decisions through guardianship or other legal proceedings. However, research, scholarship, and best practices recognize that individuals, courts, and public and private agencies should consider whether people can use supports or less-restrictive alternatives to make their own decisions before taking action to remove their rights through guardianship.
- 32. Decades of research have shown that stripping people of their civil personhood through guardianship has negative consequences, even when the person has significant intellectual disabilities, and even in the absence of abuse or ill intent.
- 33. People exercise self-determination when making choices that shape their lives. <sup>18</sup> Placing a person under guardianship restricts the right to self-determination. Research shows that people with I/DD who exercise more self-determination have a better quality of life

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1616</sup> e,g, Robert Dinerstein, Implementing Legal Capacity Under Article 12 of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities: The Difficult Road from Guardianship to Supported Decision-Making.19 HUMAN RIGHTS BRIEF, 8 (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> H.R. REP. No. 100-641, at 1 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See e.g., Peter Blanck & Jonathan G. Martinis, "The Right to Make Choices": The National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making, 3 INCLUSION 24 (2015).

- 34. For example, studies have repeatedly found that people with I/DD who are more self-determined those who make more decisions are more likely to be independent, employed, and active in their communities.<sup>19</sup>
- 35. Studies have also long shown that people with I/DD who exercise more self-determination are better able to recognize and avoid abuse.<sup>20</sup>
- 36. The National Core Indicators Study found that, among similarly situated people with I/DD, those *without* guardians were more likely to live in their own homes, work, be active members of their communities, have their rights respected, work, date, and marry than those *with* guardians.<sup>21</sup>
- 37. By the same token, research shows that the loss of self-determination "can be as harmful as having it is helpful."<sup>22</sup> One early study found that people

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See e.g., Karen Shogren et al., *Relationships between self-determination and Postschool outcomes for youth with disabilities*, 48 J. Special Educ. 256 (2015); Laurie E. Powers et al., *My life: Effects of a longitudinal, randomized study of self-determination enhancement on the transition outcomes of youth in foster care and special education*, 34 Child. & Youth Servs Rev. 2179 (2012); Janette McDougall et al., *The importance of self-determination to perceived quality of life for youth and young adults with chronic conditions and disabilities*, 31 Remedial & Special Educ. 252 (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Linda Hickson et al., Randomized control trial to evaluate an abuse prevention curriculum for women and men with intellectual and developmental disabilities, 120 Am. J. ON INTELL. AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES 490 (2015). Ishita Khemka et al., Evaluation of a decision-making curriculum designed to empower women with mental retardation to resist abuse, 110 Am. J. MENTAL RETARDATION 193 (2005); Ishita Khemka, Increasing independent decision-making skills of women with mental retardation in simulated interpersonal situations of abuse, 105 Am. J. ON MENTAL RETARDATION 387 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Valerie Bradley & Dorothy Hiersteiner, *What do NCI data reveal about the guardianship status of people with IDD?*, THE NAT'L ASSOC. OF STATE DIRS.OF DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SRVS. AND HUMAN SRVS. RSCH. INST. (Apr. 2019), <a href="https://legacy.nationalcoreindicators.org/upload/core-indicators/NCI\_GuardianshipBrief\_April2019\_Final.pdf">https://legacy.nationalcoreindicators.org/upload/core-indicators/NCI\_GuardianshipBrief\_April2019\_Final.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> JONATHAN MARTINIS & PETER BLANK, SUPPORTED DECISION-MAKING: FROM JUSTICE FOR JENNY TO JUSTICE FOR ALL! 24 (2019).

with disabilities who were denied self-determination felt "helpless, hopeless, and self-critical . . . [and] will not behave because [they] can see no use in behaving."<sup>23</sup>

- 38. Another study found that a lack of self-determination was associated with "self-handicapping behaviors" and decreased quality of life.<sup>24</sup>
- 39. This research shows that ordering people with I/DD into guardianship, even with good intentions, may actually worsen "the negative behaviors and symptoms that led to the . . . proceeding in the first place."<sup>25</sup>
- 40. Other scholarship has concluded that unnecessary guardianship can cause a "significant negative impact on . . . physical and mental health, longevity, ability to function, and reports of subjective well-being." For example, removing a person's right to make relationship choices can "isolate the individual by explicitly depriving [the person] of the right to make certain social decisions regarding how or with whom [they] will spend time." Similarly, when people lose the right to make medical decisions, they "may get little information about [their] condition or treatment options." In addition, people denied the legal right

11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> EDWARD L. DECI, INTRINSIC MOTIVATION 208 (1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See e.g., E. Jones & S. Berglas, *Control of attributions about the self through self-handicapping strategies: The appeal of alcohol and the role of underachievement.* 4 Personality & Soc. Psych. Bull. 200 (1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Peter Blanck and Jonathan G. Martinis, "The Right to Make Choices": The National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making (2015), available at: <a href="https://meridian.allenpress.com/inclusion/article-abstract/3/1/24/234/The-Right-to-Make-Choices-The-National-Resource">https://meridian.allenpress.com/inclusion/article-abstract/3/1/24/234/The-Right-to-Make-Choices-The-National-Resource</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Jennifer L. Wright, *Guardianship for your own good: Improving the well-being of respondents and wards in the USA*, 33 INT'L J. L & PSYCHIATRY 350, 354 (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Leslie Salzman, *Guardianship for persons with mental illness – a legal and appropriate alternative?*, 4 St. Louis Uni. J. of Health L. & Pol'y 279, 291 (2011). <sup>28</sup> *Id.* 

to make financial decisions may "become[] gradually disengaged from the management of those finances as well as the interactions with others involved in that management — banking, shopping, financial planning . . . even giving gifts to loved ones."29

- In sum, research and scholarship show that removing people with 41. I/DD's right to make decisions through guardianship can subject them to "marginalization and isolation from mainstream society." In contrast, selfdetermination can empower people to be "causal agents" in their lives, 31 able and authorized to make their own life choices and "reap the benefits from increased life control, independence, employment, and community integration."32
- Recognizing and respecting the right of people with I/DD to use 42. supports to make their own choices and direct their own lives—including people whose cognitive capabilities are so limited that they are on the "margins of autonomy"<sup>33</sup>—is "compatible with, and may even promote, their overall wellbeing. By the same token, overriding someone's decision(s) out of concern for their best

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id.* at 293.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Michael L. Wehmeyer, Self-determination and individuals with severe disabilities: Re-examining meanings and misinterpretations 30 Rsch. & Prac. for Persons with Severe Disabilities 113 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Blanck & Martinis, *supra* note 24, at 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Andrew Peterson, Jason Karlawish & Emily Largent, Supported decision-making with people at the margins of autonomy, 21 Am. J. of Bioethics 4, 10 (2021).

interests may, ironically, reduce their overall wellbeing, in part by undermining whatever autonomy they have."34

- My own decades of experience in this field support these research 43. findings. For example, a client I represented was told by her guardian, a parent, that she could never learn how to live independently, or to take public transportation, or improve her reading and writing skills. Her guardian refused to let her develop these skills that she desperately wanted to learn, and told her that her wishes were impossible. In the years since her guardianship ended, she has made great strides towards each of these goals, surrounded by people who believe in her abilities and help her learn and expand her skills. This development was being prevented by guardianship.
- Another client I represented was prevented, by her guardian (a 44. parent), from working, attending her church, or interacting with friends on social media. In the years since her guardianship was terminated, she has worked and worshipped as she wants, and has spoken across the county on her experience.
  - D. Guardianship should only be imposed as a last resort.
  - Because of the risks of guardianship, it should never be the default. 45.

13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Walter Veit et al., Evaluating tradeoffs between autonomy and wellbeing in supported decision-making, 21 AM. J. OF BIOETHICS 21, 22.

- This is true even if the person has significant disabilities, and even if 46. the person petitioning for the guardianship is a parent or close family member.
- This is true even if the person petitioning for guardianship is acting 47. out of kindness and a desire to help the disabled person.
- There are too many risks, and too many other alternatives, for 48. guardianship to be an appropriate default for anyone.
  - The due process protections in guardianship proceedings are E. important and can change the outcome of proceedings.
- 49. In my experience, and based on my research, due process protections in guardianship proceedings—including the right to counsel, to a neutral advocate, and to participate in proceedings—can change the course of guardianship proceedings.<sup>35</sup>
- In In the Matter of the Guardianship of Everett Parker, an appointed 50. guardian ad litem ("GAL"), an attorney, interviewed the proposed ward, ascertained that he did not want to be ordered into guardianship, and informed the court of Mr. Parker's physical and medical condition and his desire to retain his rights.<sup>36</sup> The GAL recommended that the Court appoint an attorney to represent him, and hold an evidentiary hearing to determine if guardianship was necessary. The Court agreed, appointed counsel, and found that Mr. Parker did not meet the

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Martinis, J. & Theriault, M. (in submission). Wyoming is haunted by ghost guardianships: File reviews show state and system-wide denials of due process. Journal on Disability Policy Studies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> In the Matter of Josh Frauendienst., Wyo. (Laramie). Docket No. 49-443 (2021).

01 51

criteria to impose a guardianship. Without the advocacy of an outside supporter, the Court would not have learned that Mr. Parker did not want a guardianship, would have been denied critical information about his condition and interests, and may have ordered him into guardianship without a hearing or, at least, without a full understanding of his condition and interests.

- of counsel to ensure that the person at risk of guardianship understands the proceedings and has a chance to actually consider and form an opinion on the guardianship. In *In the Matter of Josh Frauendienst*, <sup>37</sup> the proposed ward "consented" to a temporary guardianship, without counsel, and the guardian moved to convert the temporary guardianship to a permanent one. But when an attorney appointed to represent Mr. Frauendienst explained, for the first time, what the guardianship meant, Mr. Frauendienst withdrew his consent, via his attorney. He had a real, meaningful opportunity to learn about and defend his rights, which were then restored.
- 52. These are only two recent examples where a person having counsel or an advocate whether appointed, volunteer, or retained and the opportunity to understand and participate in proceedings, changed the outcome of the guardianship proceedings, and protected the disabled person's rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> In the Matter of the Guardianship of Everett Parker, Wyo. (Laramie), Docket No. 49-369 (2021).

- 53. In my own practice, I have seen firsthand the impact of representation in guardianship cases.
- 54. In addition to those described, above, in a Wyoming case in which I served as counsel, a person was put into guardianship without being served with process, appointed a GAL or attorney, and without a hearing, despite state law requiring all of these due process protections. Years later, we brought a petition to terminate the guardianship based on these failures and the Court did so. If I and my co-counsel had not learned of, or gotten involved in, this case the person would still be under guardianship.<sup>38</sup>
- 55. In several cases where I have served as counsel, the Court and parties have remarked that such cases are usually handled quickly because the person with disabilities does not object to the appointment.
- 56. In those cases, I have found (and replied) that this is because the person did not know they had a right to object, or did not want to oppose the will of a parent or other family member.
- 57. In those cases, I took the time to explain to the person what is at stake in the case, in ways they can understand (often using accessible language or the assistance of a supporter). Once the person learned this, and was given an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> In the Matter of the Guardianship and Conservatorship of Jared D. Sowers. District Court, Platte County (WY), Probate No.: 2009-1 (2022).

opportunity to consider it and say what they want, they were able to tell me that they wanted to retain or regain their rights, allowing me the opportunity to represent them and advocate on their behalf. As a result, people who could have had their rights removed instead had them reaffirmed and respected.

- 58. In other words, having or being appointed counsel can be the difference between proposed wards losing or retaining their rights or even learning that their rights are at risk and worth protecting.
- 59. This is particularly important because the issues at stake in guardianship cases quite literally a person's right to decide where, how, and with whom they live, whether they work, and the type of medical care they receive —are tantamount to those at risk in criminal cases. It is accepted (and mandated) that Courts must appoint counsel to indigent criminal defendants because those rights are so fundamental that they should not be taken away unless the defendant has a meaningful opportunity to defend them. Should the same not be true in guardianship cases?
- 60. I have no doubt that parents, family members, and others mean well when they seek guardianship, and want guardianship ordered in as little time, and with as little involvement, as possible.

- 61. I also have no doubt that the legislature meant well when it passed Senate Bill 199, meaning to reduce the burden on parents by reducing the due process protections afforded their children.
- 62. However, "[t]he greatest dangers to liberty lurk in insidious encroachment by men of zeal, well meaning but without understanding." This quote, almost 100 years old, remains true and looms large over Senate Bill 199.
- 63. It is dangerous and wrong to remove a person's bedrock right the right to counsel to help a person review and respond to allegations and evidence marshalled against them based solely on their status, or presumed incapacity, as a person with a disability, whether that disability is considered to be "severe" or not.
- 64. As shown, I have repeatedly represented and worked with people who, I believe, would qualify as having "severe" disabilities, but were able to state, clearly and unequivocally, that they did not want to be ordered into guardianship.
- 65. I believe that, if any of those persons were subject to Senate Bill 199, they would not have had a full and fair opportunity to do so, and would be in guardianships they do not need.
- 66. Therefore, we must look very carefully and critically at laws, like Senate Bill 199, that reduce or remove due process in the interest of emotion or expediency. Would it be appropriate to dispose of the need to obtain a warrant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Olmstead v. U.S., 277 U.S. 438, 480 (1928) (Brandeis, J., dissenting).

because to make things easier on diligent but overworked police officers? Would a obviate the need for service of process in order to accelerate a divorce where one spouse had abused the other? Should we dispense with trial in a contested personal injury case out of sympathy for the injured person?

67. Of course not. Therefore, people with disabilities — even those with "severe intellectual disabilities" — must be afforded the same due process rights as every other respondent in a guardianship case, including the opportunity to have counsel appointed. Any other result relegates them to a sub-class of people preordained to have "less rights than the typical convicted felon."

#### III. CONCLUSION

- 68. I will be happy to answer any questions the Court or parties may have regarding this Declaration.
- 69. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 17 Day of April, 2025



Jonathan Martinis, Esq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> H.R. REP. No. 100-641, at 1 (1987).

### Jonathan Gerald Martinis, Esquire

571.247.6174 JGMartinisLLC@Gmail.Com

#### **Employment**

**The Burton Blatt Institute at Syracuse University**, Washington, DC Senior Director for Law and Policy: March 2016 - present

Lead development, implementation, and evaluation of systems and strategies empowering people with disabilities to lead independent, self-determined lives.

- Project Director of federally-funded National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making, which provides nationwide advocacy and education to increase knowledge of, access to, and use of Supported Decision-Making.
- Principal Investigator of state-funded (VA) study that empowered people with developmental disabilities to develop and implement Supported Decision-Making plans, finding that those who did had improved quality of life.
- Project Director of private grant-funded (CA) project that empowered people with severe mental illness to develop and implement Supported Decision-Making plans, finding that those who did had improved quality of life.
- Project Director of state-funded (NY) project that evaluated state Supported Decision-Making program, finding that its methods were antithetical to principles of self-determination.
- Facilitated state workgroups in KS, MO, NC, CT, TN, NE, and VT dedicated to implementing Supported Decision-Making across service systems.
- Appointed by District of Columbia Probate Court to serve as independent expert in guardianship cases and advise the Court on Supported Decision-Making.
- Developed and lead Syracuse University College of Law Clinic on Self-Determination and Supported Decision-Making, which provides free legal representation to people with disabilities to ensure that they receive appropriate supports and services from government agencies.

## **Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities**, Washington, DC *Legal Director*: Oct. 2012 - March 2016

Managed and implemented litigation and advocacy initiatives of nonprofit organization.

- Successfully represented an adult with Down syndrome in the first trial to hold that a person with disabilities has the right to use Supported Decision-Making rather than be subjected to a permanent, plenary guardianship.
- Lead counsel in complaint resulting in systemic changes to language access policy of District of Columbia Department of Motor Vehicles.

Published articles in national journals and presented at national, state, and local conferences on topics including Supported Decision-Making, Vocational Rehabilitation, Person Centered Planning, Health Care, and Special Education.

#### Virginia Office for Protection and Advocacy f/k/a Department for Rights of Virginians with Disabilities, Richmond, VA

Managing Attorney: Jan. 2001- Oct. 2012 Acting Director: Feb. 2003 - April 2003 Staff Attorney: June 1998 - Jan. 2001 Contract Counsel: Nov. 1996 - June 1998

Led advocacy, litigation, and policy initiatives of state Protection and Advocacy system for people with disabilities.

- Lead counsel in systemic litigation against the Virginia Lottery, resulting in over 5,000 private businesses becoming accessible to people with disabilities.
- Lead counsel in class action against public transportation agency, resulting in thousands of Virginians receiving appropriate paratransit transportation.
- Lead counsel in actions against state Medicaid, mental health, education, and vocational agencies, securing individual services and systemic change.
- Led investigation of state institution's improper use of seclusion and restraint, resulting in system-wide reform.

#### Grenadier, Davis and Simpson, P.C., Alexandria, VA

Associate: Feb. 1997- Feb. 1998

Lead counsel in multi-million dollar domestic relations cases. Managed and litigated firm's criminal and tort cases including child abuse (acquittal) and malpractice.

#### Biberaj & O'Reilly, P.L.C., Leesburg, VA

Associate: Dec. 1995 - Feb. 1997

Lead counsel in criminal, tort, and domestic relations actions. Jury trials included armed robbery (acquittal), abduction (acquittal), and illegal use of firearm (acquittal).

#### **Education**

#### **Hofstra University School of Law**

Cumulative Grade Point Average: 3.21/4.00 Member: Hofstra Environmental Law Journal Hofstra Disabilities Law Clinic

#### **James Madison University**

Bachelor of Science, Cum Laude Pi Alpha Alpha (served as chapter Vice President and President)

#### **Admission to Practice**

District of Columbia Court of Appeals

Supreme Court of Virginia

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia

U.S District Court for the Western District of Virginia

### **Selected Litigation**

Winborne, et al. v. Virginia Lottery, 677 S.E.2d 304 (Va. 2009)

Virginia Lottery must comply with the ADA and ensure that private businesses selling Lottery tickets are accessible to people with disabilities.

Winborne, et al. v. Virginia Lottery, Va. Cir. (Richmond), Case No. Ho5-1168-4 Defendant enjoined to survey all 5,000+ businesses licensed to sell Lottery tickets to determine if they are accessible to people with disabilities. If a business is not accessible, defendant must require it to become accessible within one year or suspend its license.

Brinn, et al. v. Tidewater Transportation District Commission, 105 F.Supp. 2d 500 (E.D.Va. 2000) aff'd 242 F.3d 227 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001)

In class action, defendant permanently enjoined to provide next-day paratransit transportation to all eligible people with disabilities and pay attorneys' fees.

Brinn, et al. v. Tidewater Transportation District Commission, 113 F. Supp. 2d 935 (E.D.Va. 2000)

Plaintiffs can recover attorney's fees incurred attempting to collect a prior fee award and are entitled to post-judgment interest on fee award.

County School Bd. of York County, Virginia v. A.L., 2007 WL 756586 (E.D.Va. 2007) School Ordered to pay \$70,739.50 in prevailing party attorneys' fees after plaintiff secured judgment requiring it to provide sign language interpreter and occupational therapy services.

Ross and Ross v. Hatch, Va. Cir. (Newport News), Case No. CWF-120000-426 Adult with Down syndrome has the right to use Supported Decision-Making to make her own life choices and live in the community rather than be forced to live in a segregated group home under a permanent, plenary guardianship.

In Re: Ryan Herbert King, D.C.Sup.Ct. (Probate) Case No.: 2003 INT 249
Adult with Intellectual Disabilities has the right to use Supported Decision-Making rather than be subject to a permanent, plenary guardianship. Court terminated a 15-year guardianship and fully restored Mr. King's rights.

In Re: Conservatorship of Marie Bergum: Superior Court, Santa Cruz (CA), Case No: 19PR00378

Adult with Intellectual Disabilities has the right to use Supported Decision-Making to make life decisions instead of having a conservator make all decisions for her. Court terminated an over 10-year conservatorship and fully restored Ms. Bergum's rights.

- In the Matter of the Guardianship and Conservatorship of Jared D. Sowers. District Court, Platte County (WY), Probate No.: 2009-1 Guardianship terminated after 13 years because person was never served with process or otherwise provided with notice of the proceeding as required by state law.
- Dor Mohammed v. Department on Disability Services, Case No. 2021-DDS-00005 District of Columbia agency serving people with Intellectual Disabilities incorrectly found that applicant, an Afghani refugee, did not have an Intellectual Disability. Agency's decision reversed. Agency ordered to serve the person.
- $\emph{D'Souza}\ v.\ \emph{Department}\ on\ \emph{Disability Services},$  Case Nos. 2014-DDS-00005, 2014-DDS-00008
  - District of Columbia Vocational Rehabilitation agency Ordered to pay Petitioner's full tuition and room and board at out-of-state vocational rehabilitation program. Agency improperly closed Petitioner's case after he appealed its refusal to pay for the program.
- Carney by Carney v. Department on Disability Services, Case No. 2015-DDS-00001 District of Columbia Vocational Rehabilitation agency Ordered to reimburse Petitioner after it wrongly required her to pay for her services. DC Office of Administrative Hearings had the authority to Order Respondent to make monetary reimbursement. Guardian had the authority to bring administrative appeal on Petitioner's behalf.
- VOPA v. Patrick W. Finnerty, E.D.Va., Case No.: 3:04cv587
  Virginia's Medicaid agency enjoined to inform all eligible children of the existence and benefits of state's Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) program. Medicaid agency required to re-write its EPSDT manual and provide training to recipients and employees regarding EPSDT services.
- VOPA v. Dr. James Reinhard, E.D.Va., Case No.: 3:04cv54
  Virginia Department of Mental Health enjoined to provide VOPA with the names and contact information of people with mental illness who were "ready for discharge" from state institutions so they can receive advocacy and other supports and services they need to live in the community.
- *Hill v. Virginia Department of Corrections, et al.*, Va.Cir. (Richmond), In Chancery No. HS-948-4
  - Defendants enjoined to provide a prison education program to a former inmate who is deaf, provide him with a certified sign language interpreter so he can participate in the program, and provide the program at a location accessible to him.
- Hatcherson-Ross v. Department on Disability Services, Case No. 2018-DDS-00018 Represented petitioner in appeal of Department's denial of eligibility for services. Case settled pre-trial when Department reversed its denial, found petitioner eligible for its services, and agreed to expedite petitioner's request for Waiver services.
- Jeppsen v. Department on Disability Services, Case No. 2021-DDS-00004 Represented petitioner in appeal of Department's denial of eligibility for services. Case settled pre-trial when Department agreed to find petitioner eligible for its services.

- Commonwealth v. Burke, Va.Cir. (Arlington), Case Nos. CR99-924, 925
  Virginia Department of Corrections enjoined to admit inmate with Bipolar Disorder into its "Boot Camp" program and provide his medication. Department policy excluding users of psychiatric medication from "Boot Camp" unlawfully discriminated against people with disabilities.
- DRVD v. Southside Community Services Board, W.D.Va., Case No.: 4:01CV0060 DRVD v. Fairfax-Falls Church Community Services Board, E.D.Va., Case No.: 01-1569-A In each case, defendant enjoined to provide DRVD with the names and contact information of its clients who were "ready for discharge" from state institutions so they could receive the advocacy and other services and supports they need to live in the community.
- Commonwealth v. Womack, Va. Cir. (Danville), Case No.: Case No.: LP02-152 Commonwealth v. Clay, Va.Gen.Dist. (Mecklenburg), Case No.: 92001249 Commonwealth v. Cockrill, Va.Gen.Dist. (Norfolk), Case No.: C93-11193 In each case, state institution Ordered to discharge person with disabilities to a community setting.
- Campbell v. Campbell, et al., Va.Cir. (Arlington), In Chancery No.: 97-585 Hospital enjoined from medicating patient over her objection.
- DRVD and \_\_\_\_\_\_ v. \_\_\_\_ [names of plaintiff and defendant sealed], E.D.Va. Hospital enjoined to provide sign language interpreters to deaf patients, develop policy to provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to deaf patients, and pay damages to patient who was denied sign language interpreter.
- C.P. v. Virginia Department of Education and Frederick County, Special Education Due Process Hearing
  - County School Board Ordered to provide student with assistive technology. State Board of Education Ordered to allow student to use assistive technology on statewide assessment.
- C.P. v. Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services, Medicaid Appeal A.M. v. Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services, Medicaid Appeal In each case, Medicaid agency Ordered to provide EPSDT coverage for an adapted bicycle.
- J.H. v. Virginia Department of Rehabilitative Services, VR Appeal V.S. v. Virginia Department of Rehabilitative Services, VR Appeal In each case, Vocational Rehabilitation agency Ordered to find applicants eligible for services because it used an incorrect legal standard when it found them ineligible.
- Wilson v. Wilkinson's Flowers, Inc., Va.Cir. (Chesterfield), Case No.: CH99-327 Mahanes v. May Travel, Ltd., Va.Cir. (Richmond), Case No.: HK1776-1 In each case, employer enjoined to pay back wages to employee terminated after disclosing disability status.

- Commonwealth v. Crawley, Va.Gen.Dist. (Charles City), Case Nos.GC00-0145, 0146, 0147 Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to enter Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity verdict while defendant was incompetent to stand trial. Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity verdict vacated. Defendant entitled to civil, rather than forensic, commitment.
- Commonwealth v. Wagner, Va. Cir. (Stafford), Case No.: CR-04-947 State and federal law require Court to allow defendant to be accompanied by her service animal in all proceedings.
- Commonwealth v. Plotkin, Va.Cir. (Arlington) Case No: CR01-94 ADA requires Court to move trial to venue accessible to defendant.
- Bell v. Bad Wolf Bar-B-Q, et al., W.D.Va., Case No.: 7:03CV00548

  Defendants enjoined to bring restaurant into compliance with the ADA, apologize to plaintiff for discriminating against him, and pay attorney's fees.
- *Skidmore v. Lewis, et al.*, Va.Cir. (Allegheny), In Chancery No.: CH01-78 ADA requires taxi company to transport plaintiff and his service animal.

#### **Expert Testimony**

*In the Matter of Linda VanWormer*, Probate Court, Wayne County (MI) Case No: 2011-771094-DDc

Evaluated person under guardianship's use of Supported Decision-Making. Submitted report to the Court and parties giving my opinion that person was effectively using Supported Decision-Making to make her own decisions. Qualified and testified as an expert at trial. Court terminated guardianship, in the first Michigan case to do so in favor of a person's use of Supported Decision-Making, citing my report as persuasive.

In Re: Tecora Mickel, D.C. Sup.Ct (Probate) Case No.: 2015 INT 291
Appointed as independent expert in a guardianship case to evaluate and advise the Court about person under guardianship's ability to use Supported Decision-Making. Evaluated the person and submitted reports to the parties and court. Qualified as expert at trial and testified in support of person's request to have guardianship terminated. Court terminated guardianship in favor of Supported Decision-Making.

In the Matter of Lauren Patricia O'Reilly, Circuit Court, Greene County (MO), Estate No.: 1931-PRO1094

Qualified and testified as subject matter expert on theory, practice, and benefits of Supported Decision-Making as an alternative to guardianship.

In the Matter of the Guardianship and Conservatorship of Emery Scott Olson, District Court, Laramie County (WY), Docket No. 45-341

Evaluated person under guardianship's use of Supported Decision-Making. Worked with person and his supporters to create a Supported Decision-Making Plan. Submitted report to the Court and parties giving my opinion that person was effectively using Supported Decision-Making to make his own decisions. Court terminated guardianship, in the first Wyoming case to do so in favor of a person's use of Supported Decision-Making, citing my report as persuasive.

State of Tennessee, Department of Human Services v. Story and Avery, Chancery Court of Dickson County (TN), Docket No.: 2022-CV-5

Evaluated person with intellectual disabilities to determine if she has capacity to consent to sex with her husband. Submitted report finding that person is able to, and does, effectively use Supported Decision-Making to consider and consent to sex. After I submitted my report, agency withdrew its petition to terminate person's marriage and dismissed its sexual abuse complaint against her husband.

In Re: Tanya Anisha Powell, D.C. Sup.Ct (Probate) Case No.: 2015 INT 529 Appointed as independent expert in a guardianship case to evaluate and advise the Court about person under guardianship's ability to use Supported Decision-Making. Submitted reports to the Court, then worked with the parties to reach a resolution terminating the guardianship in favor of Supported Decision-Making.

In the Matter of the Guardianship and Conservatorship of Edward J. Lorenz, District Court, Laramie County (WY), Docket No. 48-543

Evaluated person under guardianship's use of Supported Decision-Making. Worked with person and his supporters to create a Supported Decision-Making Plan. Submitted report to the Court and parties giving my opinion that person was effectively using Supported Decision-Making to make his own decisions. After I filed my report, the guardians withdrew opposition to termination of the guardianship. Court terminated guardianship, citing to the existence of the Supported Decision-Making Plan

In re: the Guardianship of Jamie Lavonne Beck, Superior Court, Wayne County (IN), Case No.: 89DO2-1805-GU-000044

Testified via affidavit on theory, practice, and benefits of Supported Decision-Making as an alternative to guardianship.

In re: the Guardianship of Tyler Borjas, Probate Court, Miami-Dade County (FL), Case No.:2017002056GD02

Testified via affidavit on theory, practice, and benefits of Supported Decision-Making as an alternative to guardianship.

#### **Honors**

National Alliance on Mental Illness: Marjorie Morris Memorial Human Rights Award

The Arc Tennessee: Justice Award

Vanderbilt University Kennedy Center: Martin Luther King Jr. Commemorative Lecturer Impact, Special Edition on Supported Decision-Making: Guest Editor

Department for Rights of Virginians with Disabilities: Outstanding Service Award

Hofstra University School of Law: Citation of Excellence in Labor and Employment Law

Hofstra University School of Law: Pro Bono Student Lawyers Project Award

#### **Publications**

- Martinis, J, Shogren, K., & Blanck, P. (2024). Turning off the school-to-guardianship pipeline: Incorporating supported decision-making into special education. *Inclusive Practices* 3(3), 69-77.
- Whaley, B., Martinis, J. . . & Blanck, P. (2024). The Americans with Disabilities Act and equal access to public spaces. *Laws* 13(5), 1-12.
- Martinis, J., Harris, J., Fox, D., & Blanck, P. (2023). State guardianship laws and supported decision-making in the United States after *Ross and Ross v. Hatch*: Analysis and implications for future research, education, and advocacy. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies* 34(1), 8-16.
- Martinis, J. (as Jonathan Gerald Martinis, LLC) (2023). Supported decision-making brochures. *Connecticut State Independent Living Council* 
  - Supported Decision-Making: Guardianship and Conservatorship are not your ONLY Options
  - Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Programs
  - Supported Decision-Making and Transition Planning: What are you Going to do after High School?
  - Supported Decision-Making in Vocational Rehabilitation
  - Supported Decision-Making through the Years
  - Supported Decision-Making and Managing your Money
  - Supported Decision-Making and Health Care
- Martinis, J. (2023). Rights at risk: Michael Oher, Britney Spears, and You. *University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Social Work*. <a href="https://rethinkingguardianshipnc.org/2023/09/13/rights-at-risk-michael-oher-britney-spears-and-you/">https://rethinkingguardianshipnc.org/2023/09/13/rights-at-risk-michael-oher-britney-spears-and-you/</a>
- Martinis, J. (2022). Shutting down the school to guardianship pipeline: What we know and what we need. *University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Social Work*. <a href="https://rethinkingguardianshipnc.org/2022/11/13/shutting-off-the-school-to-guardianship-pipeline-what-we-know-and-what-we-need/">https://rethinkingguardianshipnc.org/2022/11/13/shutting-off-the-school-to-guardianship-pipeline-what-we-know-and-what-we-need/</a>
- Martinis, J. (as Jonathan Gerald Martinis, LLC) (2022): Position statements. *The Missouri Developmental Disabilities Council*.
  - Bridging the Digital Divide
  - Competitive Employment
  - Creating a Culture of Coordinated Support
  - Diversity
  - Inclusive Education
  - Outreach

- Restraint and Seclusion in Schools
- Safety and Well-Being
- Self-Determination and Guardianship
- Special Education Transition Planning
- Transportation
- Voting

Martinis, J. (as Jonathan Gerald Martinis, LLC) (2022): Supported decision-making guides. *The Munroe-Meyer Institute*. <a href="https://www.unmc.edu/mmi/family-resources/community-services/sdm.html">https://www.unmc.edu/mmi/family-resources/community-services/sdm.html</a>

- Supported Decision-Making and Guardianship
- Learning to Live Independently: Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Programs
- Moving Forward Together: Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Transition Services
- My Health, My Life, My Choices: Supported Decision-Making in Health Care
- Making Every Dollar Count: Supported Decision-Making and Managing Your Money

Martinis, J. (2021, October 13). Britney Spears, Peter Max and all of us: Abusive guardianships are a big national problem. *New York Daily News*. <a href="https://www.nydailynews.com/opinion/ny-oped-britney-spears-peter-max-20211013-zxyfehkuczaerjlbhwkcfr3lag-story.html">https://www.nydailynews.com/opinion/ny-oped-britney-spears-peter-max-20211013-zxyfehkuczaerjlbhwkcfr3lag-story.html</a>

Martinis, J. (as Jonathan Gerald Martinis, LLC) (2021-2022). Supported decision-making series. *The Virginia Parent Education and Advocacy Center*. https://peatc.org/services/transition-to-adulthood/

- Is Guardianship My ONLY Option?
- Learning to be Independent: Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Programs
- What Comes Next?: Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Transition Planning
- Getting to Work: Supported Decision-Making in Vocational Rehabilitation
- Taking Care, Taking the Lead: Supported Decision-Making and Your Health
- My Money, My Choices: Supported Decision-Making and Managing Your Money
- Bringing it all Together: Creating a Culture of Coordinated Support

Shogren, K. A., Dean, E. E., Linnenkamp, B., Raley, S. K., Martinis, J., & Blanck, P. (2021). Supported Decision-Making. In *Decision Making by Individuals with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities* (pp. 21-45). Springer, Cham.

Schnieders, C., Saks, E., Martinis, J., & Blanck, P. (2021). Psychiatric advanced directives and supported decision-making: Preliminary developments and pilot studies in California, in MENTAL HEALTH, HUMAN RIGHTS, AND LEGAL CAPACITY (Eds. M. Stein, F. Mahomed, C. Sunkel, V. Patel). Cambridge University Press, New York.

Page 30

- Martinis, J. (2021). Psychiatric advance directives policy briefs. *The Saks Institute for Mental Health Law, Policy, and Ethics*.
  - Psychiatric Advanced Directives: Overview and Uses
  - Psychiatric Advance Directives: Perspectives of Peers, Family Members, and Clinicians
  - Incorporating Psychiatric Advance Directives into California's Mental Health System: Opportunities and Challenges
  - The Multi-County Innovation Project on Psychiatric Advance Directives and Other Forms of Supported Decision-Making: Enhancing Health and Life Outcomes by Empowering Consumer Choice
- Martinis, J. & Beadnell, J. (2021). "I learned that I have a voice in my future:" Summary, findings, and recommendations of the Virginia supported decision-making pilot project. <a href="http://supporteddecisionmaking.org/node/488">http://supporteddecisionmaking.org/node/488</a>
- Beadnell, L. & Martinis, J. (2020). Re-thinking guardianship and substitute decision-making: Supported decision-making and the reform of Virginia law, policy, and practice to protect rights and ensure choice. *Developments in Mental Health Law 39*(1), 1-13.
- Raley, S., Shogren, K., Martinis, J., & Wehmeyer, M. (2020). Age of majority and alternatives to guardianship: A necessary amendment to the individuals with disabilities education act of 2004. *Journal on Disability Policy Studies*.
- Martinis, J. (2019). Making it happen: Strategies for supported decision-making. *Impact* 32(1), 45.
- Martinis, J., Cassidy, K., Gustin, J., Nadeau, J., & Robinson, D. (2019). Creating a culture of coordinated support in Vermont: The successful transitions program. *Impact 32*(1), 19-21.
- Hallmark, L. & Martinis, J. (2019). Psychiatric advanced directives in Texas: The TRLA model. *Impact 32*(1), 43-44.
- Martinis, J. & Blanck, P. (2019). Supported Decision-Making: From Justice for Jenny to Justice for All. A Theory to Practice Guide. Virginia: Something Else Solutions Press
- Martinis, J. (2019). My life, my decisions: An introduction to supported decision-making: *Maine Association of Community Providers*.
- Martinis, J. & Harris, J. (2019). ABLE accounts and supported decision-making: Building a pathway to independence, inclusion and a "decent quality of life." *National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making*. <a href="http://supporteddecisionmaking.org/node/475">http://supporteddecisionmaking.org/node/475</a>

- Blanck, P., & Martinis, J. (2018). "The right to make choices": Supported decision-making activities in the United States, in LA VOLUNTAD DE LA PERSONA PROTEGIDA: OPORTUNIDADES, RIESGOS, Y SALVAGUARDAS (Eds. M. Nuñez, M., & G. Díaz Pardo). Universidad Rey Juan Carolos, Pais, Spain.
- Martinis, J. & Whitlatch, M. (2018). Missouri symposium on supported decision-making consensus document. *University of Missouri Kansas City Institute for Human Development*. <a href="https://ihd.umkc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/SymposiumConsensusDocument">https://ihd.umkc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/SymposiumConsensusDocument</a> FINAL.pdf.
- Shogren, K., Wehmeyer, M., Martinis, J., & Blanck, P. (2018). Supported Decision-Making: Theory, Research, and Practice to Enhance Self-Determination and Quality of Life, New York: Cambridge University Press
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (as Something Else Solutions, LLC) (2018-2019): Supported decision-making series. *Missouri Developmental Disabilities Council*. <a href="https://moddcouncil.org/">https://moddcouncil.org/</a>
  - Do I HAVE to get Guardianship?
  - "Education, Employment, and Independent Living:" Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Programs
  - Planning for the Rest of Their Lives: Supported Decision-Making in Special Education Transition Services
  - "Maximizing Employment and Independence": Supported Decision-Making in Vocational Rehabilitation Programs
  - My Health, My Decisions: Supported Decision-Making in Health Care
  - Living Your Best Life: Supported Decision-Making in Person Centered Planning
  - A Shot at the American Dream: Supported Decision-Making and Managing Money
  - Getting Older, Getting Better: Supported Decision-Making through the Years
  - Shelter from the Storm: Supported Decision-Making and Emergency Planning
  - Staying Healthy, Staying Connected: Supported Decision-Making in a Pandemic
- Jeste, D, Eglit, G., Palmer, B, Martinis, J., & Blanck, P. (2018). Supported decision-making in serious mental illness. *Psychiatry*, 81(1), 28-40.
- Martinis, J. (2017). An introduction to supported decision-making. *The Missouri Developmental Disabilities Council*. http://www.moddcouncil.org/?page\_id=whatsnewstory&id=68
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (2017). Supported decision-making: Everyone can make decisions. *The Frontline Initiative*, *14*(2), 12.
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (2017). Dream-inspired planning. *The Frontline Initiative*, 14(2), 13.
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (2017). A culture of coordinated support. *The Frontline Initiative*, 14(2), 14.

- Martinis, J., Campanella, T., & Blanck, P. (2017). Supported Decision-Making as an Alternative to Guardianship, in HANDBOOK OF POSITIVE PSYCHOLOGY (Wehmeyer, M., Ed) (Springer Publications).
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (as Something Else Solutions, LLC). (2017-2018): Position statements. *The Missouri Developmental Disabilities Council*. <a href="http://www.moddcouncil.org">http://www.moddcouncil.org</a>
  - Competitive Employment
  - Creating a Culture of Coordinated Support
  - Diversity
  - Inclusive Education
  - Outreach
  - Personal Safety and Wellbeing
  - Restraint and Seclusion in Schools
  - Self-Determination and Guardianship
  - Special Education Transition Planning
  - Transportation
- Martinis, J., Collins-Dean, C., & Smith-Butler, L. (2017). Supported decision-making: Everyone has the right to make choices. Updated. *Kentucky Protection and Advocacy Rights Reporter*. Summer, 2017. <a href="http://nebula.wsimg.com/foc8491e86a1505d8922a41329e3c430?AccessKeyId=65CDDA3309ED09126F01&disposition=0&alloworigin=1">http://nebula.wsimg.com/foc8491e86a1505d8922a41329e3c430?AccessKeyId=65CDDA3309ED09126F01&disposition=0&alloworigin=1</a>
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (2017). Supported decision-making as an alternative to overbroad and undue guardianship. *The Advocate*, *60*(6), 41-46.
- Martinis, J. & Gustin, J. (2017). Supported decision-making: An equal right to make choices. *Disability Rights Idaho*. <a href="http://disabilityrightsidaho.org/equal-right-make-choices-supported-decision-making-jonathan-martinis-jesselyn-gustin/">http://disabilityrightsidaho.org/equal-right-make-choices-supported-decision-making-jonathan-martinis-jesselyn-gustin/</a>
- Arstein-Kerslake, A., Watson, J., Browning, M., Martinis, J., & Blanck, P. (2017). Future directions in supported decision-making. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, *37*(1).
- Blanck, P., Campanella, T. & Martinis, J. (2017). *Legal and Ethical Considerations in Ensuring Rights and Entitlements*, in A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE TO INTELLECTUAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES (Wehmeyer, M., Ed.) (2d ed., Paul H. Brookes Publishing Company).
- Francisco, S. & Martinis, J. (2017). Supported decision-making teams: Setting the wheels in motion. *National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making*. <a href="http://supporteddecisionmaking.org/sites/default/files/Supported-Decision-Making-Teams-Setting-the-Wheels-in-Motion.pdf">http://supporteddecisionmaking.org/sites/default/files/Supported-Decision-Making-Teams-Setting-the-Wheels-in-Motion.pdf</a>
- Gustin, J. & Martinis, J. (2016). Change the culture, change the world: Increasing independence by creating a culture of coordinated support. *Apostrophe*. <a href="http://apostrophemagazine.com/vermont-change-culture-disabilities">http://apostrophemagazine.com/vermont-change-culture-disabilities</a>

- Dinerstein, R., Grewal, E.G., & Martinis, J. (2016). Emerging international trends and practices in guardianship law for people with disabilities. *ILSA Journal of International and Comparative Law*, *22*(2). 435.
- Shogren, K., Abery, A, Antosh, A, Broussard, R., ... & Martinis, J. (2015). Recommendations of the self-determination and self-advocacy strand from the national goals 2015 conference. *Inclusion 3*(4), 205-2010.
- Martinis, J. (2015). Supported decision-making: Everyone has the right to make choices. *Kentucky Protection and Advocacy Rights Reporter*, Fall 2015, 1. <a href="http://www.kypa.net/uploads/Rights Reporter Fall 2015.pdf">http://www.kypa.net/uploads/Rights Reporter Fall 2015.pdf</a>
- Martinis, J. (2015). Supported decision-making: Protecting rights, ensuring choices. *Bifocal 36*(5), 107-110.
- Jameson, M., Riesen, T., Polychronis, S., Trader, B., Mizner, S., Hoyle, D, & Martinis, J. (2015). Guardianship and the potential of supported decision-making for individuals with disabilities. *Research and Practice for People with Severe Disabilities*, 40(1), 1-16.
- Hatch, M, Crane, S, & Martinis, J. (2015). Unjustified isolation is discrimination: The *Olmstead* case against overbroad and undue organizational and public guardianship. *Inclusion*, *3*(2), 65-74.
- Martinis, J. (2015). "The right to make choices": How vocational rehabilitation can help young adults with disabilities increase self-determination and avoid guardianship. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, *42*, 221-227.
- Blanck, P. & Martinis, J. (2015). "The right to make choices": The national resource center for supported decision-making. *Inclusion*, *3*(1), 24-33.
- Martinis, J. (2014). From justice for Jenny to justice for all. *Apostrophe*. <a href="http://apostrophemagazine.com/justice-for-jenny/">http://apostrophemagazine.com/justice-for-jenny/</a>
- Martinis, J. (2014). Supported decision-making: An agenda for action. *Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities*. <a href="http://bbi.syr.edu/news\_events/news/2014/02/Supported%20Decision%20Making-2014.pdf">http://bbi.syr.edu/news\_events/news/2014/02/Supported%20Decision%20Making-2014.pdf</a>
- Martinis, J., (2013). One person, many choices: Using special education transition services to increase self-direction and decision-making and decrease overbroad or undue guardianship. *Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities*. <a href="http://jennyhatchproject.org/docs/publications/jhjp">http://jennyhatchproject.org/docs/publications/jhjp</a> publications draft article guard ianship.pdf
- Martinis. J. (1998-2012). The Virginia Office for Protection and Advocacy:
  - Investigation Report on the Neglect of SH
  - Investigation Report on the Suicide of TW
  - Report on the Use of Seclusion and Restraint at Western State Hospital
  - Follow-Up Report on the Use of Seclusion and Restraint at Western State Hospital
  - The Rights of Persons with Disabilities: Traveling with a Service Animal

• The Rights of Persons with Disabilities: Your Right to a Qualified Sign Language Interpreter During Medical Service

Page 34

- The Rights of Persons with Disabilities: Accessibility
- The Rights of Persons with Disabilities: One Person, One Vote
- Voters with Disabilities What Election Officials Need to Know

Martinis, J. (1995). Beyond back pay: "Damaging" remedies in discrimination suits. *Employment in the Mainstream*, July, 1995.

Martinis, J. (1994). The hand that opens the window: Dignity and the Americans with Disabilities Act. *In the Mainstream*, September, 1994.

Martinis, J. (1992). State v. Reilly. Hofstra Envtl. L. Dig. 9 (1992): 117.

#### **Selected Presentations**

olocio di l'obolitationi	
North Carolina Guardianship Association	Annual Conference: April 2025
Virginia Board for People with Disabilities	Invited Address: April 2025
Stevens Institute of Technology	Classroom Lecture: April 2025
Wyoming Institute for Disabilities	ECHO training: March 2025
Connecticut State Independent Living Council	Webinar: Feb. 2025
Connecticut Supported Decision-Making Coalition	SDM Town Hall: Jan. 2025
Tennessee Center for Decision-Making Support	Webinar Series: SeptDec. 2024
Wyoming Independent Living	Webinar: Oct. 2024
Ohio Department of Education, State Support Team 3 <u>Plenary Address</u>	Annual Conference: Oct. 2024
The Arc of Florida <u>Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions</u>	Annual Conference: Sept. 2024
Nebraska Developmental Disabilities Council	SDM Conference: Aug. 2024
Missouri Partners in Policymaking	Invited Address: July 2024
New Mexico Developmental Disabilities Council <u>Keynote Address and Three Breakout Session</u>	Annual Conference: June 2024
Virginia Board for People with Disabilities <u>Closing Address</u>	Annual Conference: June 2024
The Arc of Colorado <u>Keynote Address and Four Breakout Sessions</u>	SDM Conference: June 2024
Wyoming Adult Protective Services	Webinar: June 2024

Webinar Series: March-May 2024

Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities

Connecticut Bar Association Continuing Legal Education

Program: April 2024

Stevens Institute of Technology Classroom Lecture: April 2024

New Jersey Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: April 2024

Connecticut Office of the Probate Court Judicial Conference: March 2024

University of Delaware SDM Summit: March 2024

New York Council on Developmental Disabilities 
Invited Address: March 2024

Nebraska Department of Education Transition Conference: Feb. 2024

New Jersey Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: Feb. 2024
National Disability Institute Webinar Series: Jan. 2024

People First of Missouri Webinar: Jan. 2024 Golisano Institute for Developmental Webinar: Dec. 2023

Disability Nursing

Educational Service Center of Northeast Ohio Webinar Series: Nov 2023-Jan 2024

Connecticut Supported Decision-Making SDM Summit: Nov. 2023

Coalition

<u>Keynote Address</u>

Wyoming Independent Living Webinar: Oct. 2023

AgingCT Annual Conference: Oct. 2023
Educational Service Center of Northeast Ohio Annual Conference: Oct. 2023

American University Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: Sept. 2023

National Association of Councils on Webinar: Sept. 2023

Developmental Disabilities Webmar. Sept. 2023

Connecticut State Independent Living Council Supported Decision-Making Webinars

Aug.-Nov. 2023

North Carolina Developmental Disabilities Annual Meeting: Aug. 2023

Council

Nebraska State Unit on Aging Annual Conference: Aug. 2023

Missouri Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: July 2023

Virginia Coalition for the Prevention of Annual Conference: June 2023

Elder Abuse

**Two Breakout Sessions** 

Mississippi Developmental Disabilities Council Annual Conference: June 2023

Keynote Address and Breakout Session

Educational Service Center of Northeast Ohio Annual Conference: June 2023

Two Breakout Sessions

Page 36

Cleveland Municipal School District Annual Conference: June 2023 Plenary Address and Two Breakout Sessions Connecticut State Independent Living Council Webinar: June 2023 **Stevens University** Annual Biomedical Conf: May 2023 North Carolina Guardianship Association Annual Conference: May 2023 New York State Developmental Disabilities Invited Address: May 2023 **Planning Council** University of Delaware, Center for Disabilities Webinar Series: April-May 2023 **Studies** New York University School of Law Classroom Lecture: April 2023 State University of New York, Binghamton Classroom Lecture: April 2023 The Arc of Florida Webinar: April 2023 Ohio State Support Team 11 Special Education Conf: April 2023 Nebraska Vocational Rehabilitation Counselor Training: March 2023 North Carolina Bar Association Annual Elder and Special Needs Law Symposium: Feb. 2023 Annual Transition Conf.: Feb 2023 Nebraska Department of Education Invited Address: Feb. 2023 New Jersey Partners in Policymaking Ohio State Support Team 11 SDM Q&A Session: Dec. 2022 Next Steps New Hampshire Annual Conference: Nov. 2022 Easter Seals Foundation of the Midwest Annual Conference: Nov. 2022 Ohio State Support Team 11 Parent/Teacher Training: Nov. 2022 Connecticut State Bar Association Diversity Conference: Oct. 2022 Nebraska Association of Community Annual Conference: Sept. 2022 **Professionals** Kansas University Center on Excellence in Community Team Meeting: Sept. 2022 **Developmental Disabilities** 504 at 50 Podcast Interviews: Sept-Nov. 2022 Conducted 4 Interviews Colorado State Bar Association Elder Law Retreat: Aug. 2022 Plenary Address and Two Breakout Sessions California Continuing Education for the Bar CLE Program: Aug. 2022 North Carolina Division of Medical Assistance Staff Training: July 2022 The Arc of the Bay, Florida ADA Celebration: July 2022 Missouri Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: July 2022

American University Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: June 2022 Wyoming Governor's Council on Developmental Annual Conference: June 2022

Disabilities

Nebraska Developmental Disabilities Network Tri-Board Meeting: June 2022

Southwest Virginia Legal Aid Elder Abuse Conference: June 2022

**Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions** 

Nebraska Division of Developmental Disabilities Webinar: June 2022

Nebraska Youth Leadership Conference Summer Conference: June 2022

Boggs Center on Developmental Disabilities Podcast: June 2022

Judicial Conference of Virginia Annual Conference: May 2022

North Carolina Judicial College Annual Conference: May 2022

University of Connecticut, Center on Excellence Webinar: April 2022

in Developmental Disabilities

Autism Society of Southern Illinois Annual Conference: April 2022

Mindfreedom International Webinar: April 2022

West Virginia University

Annual Conference: Mar. 2022

Traumatic Brain Injury Services

Keynote Address and Breakout Session

Nebraska State Transition Conference Annual Conference: Feb. 2022

California Special Needs Planning Symposium Annual Conference: Feb. 2022 Kevnote Address

The Arc of Illinois Annual Conference: Jan. 2022

New Hampshire Family Voices Annual Conference: Jan. 2022

Kansas State Schools for the Deaf and Blind Webinar: Jan. 2022

Virginia Parent Educational Advocacy Training Webinar Series: Jan.-June 2022

Center

Six Webinars

Nebraska Developmental Disabilities Webinar: Jan. 2022

Council

New Jersey Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: Dec. 2021

District of Columbia Probate Court Judicial Training: Dec. 2021

Missouri State Bar Association Estate and Trust Conference: Dec. 2021

Parent2Parent USA Webinar: Dec. 2021

North Carolina Conference on Exceptional Annual Conference: Nov. 2021

Children

Illinois Statewide Transition Conference Annual Conference: Nov. 2021

Keynote Address and Breakout Session

Stetson University College of Law Special Needs Trust Conf: Oct. 2021

Two Breakout Sessions

Ireland National Disability Authority Webinar: Oct. 2021

Disability Rights Rhode Island Webinar Series: Oct 2021-Jan. 2022

Five Webinars

Ohio State Support Team 11 Webinar Series: Oct.-Dec. 2021

Six Webinars for Teachers and Parents

#FreeBritney America Featured Speaker, U.S. Capitol Rally:

Oct. 2021

North Carolina Guardianship Association Annual Conference: Sept. 2021

Virginia Parent Educational Advocacy Training Annual Conference: Sept. 2021

Center

Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions

National Association of State Head Injury Webinar: Sept. 2021

Administrators

Virginia Parent Educational Advocacy Training Military Conference: Sept. 2021

Center

**Keynote Address** 

Michigan Developmental Disabilities Council Webinar: Sept. 2021

Ohio Educational State Support Team One Podcast: Sept. 2021

American Bar Association Webinar: Aug. 2021

Michigan Developmental Disabilities Council Webinar: Aug. 2021

Parent to Parent, USA Webinar: Aug. 2021

Care Design New York Staff Training: July 2021

Missouri Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: June 2021

Wyoming Governor's Council on People Annual Conference: June 2021

With Developmental Disabilities

Nebraska Developmental Disabilities Network Invited Address: June 2021

American University Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: June 2021

Arlington, Va, Disability and Justice System Regional Conference: June 2021

Coalition

North Central Ohio Education Service Annual Conference: May 2021

Center

Saks Institute for Mental Health Law, Policy Annual Symposium: May 2021

And Ethics

Americans Against Abusive Probate Guardianship Annual Conference: April 2021

Parent 2 Parent USA: Webinar: April 2021 Your Community of Care Podcast: March 2021 NY Office of People with Developmental Webinar: March 2021

**Disabilities** 

Tiffin City, Ohio, Board of Education Webinars: Feb-April. 2021 Five Webinars for Students, Parents,

and Teachers

Parent 2 Parent USA Webinar: Feb. 2021 CIDA, Korean Family Support Group Webinar: Feb. 2021

Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities "Hot Topic" Webinars: Jan. 2021 Four Webinars

North Carolina Rethinking Guardianship Facilitate Work Group: Jan. 2021 Workgroup

New Jersey Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: Dec. 2020 Kansas Self Determined Transition Invited Address: Dec. 2020

Task Force

Muscular Dystrophy Association ENGAGE Conference: Nov. 2020

Access CNY Invited Address: Nov. 2020 Invited Address: Oct. 2020

North Carolina Olmstead Plan Stakeholder

**Advisory Committee** 

CTF Illinois Webinar: Oct. 2020

Arc of Northern Virginia Invited Address: Oct. 2020 Pacific Northwest Law and Education Institute Annual Conference: Oct. 2020

Two Breakout Sessions

South Carolina State Bar Association Bench and Bar Conference: Sept. 2020

Colorado State Bar Association Elder Law Conference: Aug. 2020

Illinois Partners in Policymaking Invited Address Aug. 2020 North Carolina Rethinking Guardianship Invited Address: Aug. 2020

Task Force

Arc of Virginia Annual Conference: Aug. 2020

Two Breakout Sessions

Ouality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities Education Town Hall: July 2020

Arizona Institute for Human Development Annual Conference: July 2020 University of Illinois Transition Conference: July 2020

**APSE** Annual Conference: June 2020

Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities Virtual Town Hall: May 2020 Lincoln Street, Inc. Staff Training: May 2020

Florida International University Webinar: April 2020

New York ACCESS Webinar: March 2020
AAIDD Webinar: March 2020

The Arc of Northern Virginia Special Conference: March 2020

Kansas Self-Determination Consortium Facilitate Consortium: March 2020

Missouri Supported Decision-Making Facilitate Task Force: March 2020 Task Force

CTF Illinois Invited Address: Feb. 2020

DeLovely Podcast Interview: Feb. 2020

Arc of Northern Virginia Special Conference: Feb. 2020

West Virginia University Center for Webinar: Feb. 2020

Excellence in Developmental Disabilities

Prince Courty, Ohio, Public Schools

Invited Address: Jan. 2020

CLE Presentation: Jan. 2020

Prince Georges County, Maryland, CLE Presentation: Jan. 2020 Bar Association

American University Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: Jan. 2020

Pickaway County, Ohio, Public Schools Invited Address: Jan. 2020

Kansas Supported Decision-Making Task Force Facilitate Task Force: Dec. 2019

New Jersey Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: Dec. 2019

North Carolina Center for Integrative Health Annual Conference: Dec. 2019

Not Weird, Just Autistic Podcast Interview: Nov. 2019

Virginia Commonwealth University Classroom Lecture: Nov. 2019

ADA Live! Podcast Interview: Nov. 2019

National Association of Direct Support Providers Webinar: Nov. 2019

Maine Association of Community Providers Annual Conference: Nov. 2019

Missouri Supported Decision-Making Facilitate Consortium: Oct. 2019

Consortium

Kevnote Address and Breakout Session

Progressive Community Services Invited Address: Oct. 2019

Maine Association of Community Providers Webinar: Sept. 2019

Illinois Developmental Disabilities Council Council Conference: Sept. 2019 Keynote Address and Breakout Session

Mansfield, Ohio, High School Invited Address: Aug. 2019

Ohio State Support Team 7 Transition Conference: Aug. 2019

New Hope, Ohio, Board for Developmental Disabilities	Invited Address: Aug. 2019
New York Association of Direct Service Professionals	Webinar: Aug, 2019
ADvancing States (formerly NASUAD)	HCBS Conference: Aug. 2019
Circleville High School, Ohio	Invited Address: Aug. 2019
Nevada Department of Education	Annual Conference: July 2019
Perry County, Ohio, Board for People with Developmental Disabilities	Invited Address: July 2019
Missouri Partners in Policymaking	Invited Address: July 2019
Washington, DC, Department of Aging and Community Living	Annual Conference: June 2019
Maine Association of Community Service Providers	Special Conference: June 2019
National Resource Center for Supported Decision-Making <u>Two Breakout Sessions</u>	Annual Conference: June 2019
American University Washington College of Law	Classroom Lecture: May 2019
Ohio Association of County Board of Developmental Disabilities <u>Two Breakout Sessions</u>	Annual Conference: May 2019
The Arc of Illinois <u>Keynote Address and Breakout Session</u>	Annual Conference: May 2019
Adult Protective Services Technical Assistance Resource Center	Webinar: May 2019
United Healthcare <u>Three Statewide Trainings</u>	TN Staff Trainings: April 2019
Missouri Supported Decision-Making Task Force	Facilitate Task Force: April 2019
APSI, Ohio Public Guardian <u>Keynote Address and Facilitate Panel</u>	Annual Conference: April 2019
Wood County, Ohio, Board of Developmental Disabilities	Staff Training: April 2019
Henry County, Ohio, Board of Developmental Disabilities	Staff Training: April 2019
Auglaize County, Ohio, Board of Developmental Disabilities	Staff Training: April 2019
AHRC of Nassau County, New York <u>Five Breakout Sessions</u>	Staff, Public Training: April 2019

Page 42

Nevada Department of Education Workgroup Meeting: March 2019 Rutland, Vermont, Core Transition Team Workgroup Meeting: Feb. 2019 The State Bar of Texas Webinar: Feb. 2019 Nevada Department of Education Workgroup Meeting: Jan. 2019 Idaho Board of Education Transition Conference: Nov. 2018 Idaho Developmental Disabilities Council Special Conference: Nov. 2018 Huron County, Ohio, Probate Court Guardianship Training: Nov. 2018 South Carolina Bar Association Special Conference: Nov. 2018 Four Breakout Sessions South Carolina Protection and Advocacy Special Conference: Nov. 2018 Pacific Northwest Law and Education Institute Annual Conference: Oct. 2018 Annual Conference: Oct. 2018 Abilities First, Missouri Ohio SYNERGY Annual Conference: Oct. 2018 Arc of Missouri Special Conference: Sept. 2018 University of Missouri, Kansas City Special Conference: Sept. 2018 New York Adult Protective Services Association Annual Conference: Sept. 2018 Ohio Superintendents of County Boards of Annual Conference: Aug. 2018 Developmental Disabilities The Arc of Florida Invited Address: Aug. 2018 The Arc of Palm Beach County, Florida Invited Address: Aug 2018 Tennessee Alliance for Legal Services Annual Conference: Aug. 2018 Invited Address: July 2018 Missouri Partners in Policymaking Wyoming Developmental Disabilities Council Annual Conference: June 2018 The Arc of Florida Regional Conferences: June 2018 Idaho Supreme Court Regional Conferences: June 2018 American University Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: May 2018 Invited Address: May 2018 **Rutgers University Boggs Center** The Arc of Tennessee Annual Conference: May 2018 Indiana Governor's Council for People Leadership Conf.: April 2018 with Disabilities Upper Valley Services, Vermont, Services Staff Training: April 2018 Rutland, Vermont, Core Transition Team Staff Training: April 2018 **Special Olympics** Invited Address: April 2018 Shepard Broad College of Law Invited Address: March 2018

Minnesota Social Service Association Annual Conference: March 2018 Williams County, Ohio, Board of Regional Conf. March 2018 **Developmental Disabilities** Tennessee Partners in Education Annual Conference: Feb. 2018 Keynote Address and Breakout Session Tennessee Protection and Advocacy Staff Training: Feb. 2018 American University Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: Jan. 2018 Vanderbilt University Kennedy Center Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., Commemorative Address: Jan. 2018 Tennessee Inter-Agency Task Force Facilitate Task Force: Jan. 2018 Huron County, Ohio, Board of Invited Address: Dec. 2017 **Developmental Disabilities** The Arc of Florida Statewide Trainings: Dec. 2017 Florida Agency for Persons with Disabilities Invited Address: Dec. 2017 Pickaway County, Ohio, Board of Invited Address: Dec. 2017 **Developmental Disabilities** Williams County, Ohio, Board of Invited Address: Dec. 2017 Developmental Disabilities Indiana Governor's Council for People Annual Conference. Dec. 2017 with Disabilities Georgia State Bar Association Legal Conference: Nov. 2017 Nevada State Bar Association Legal Conference: Nov. 2017 Huron County, Ohio, Board for People with Invited Address: Nov. 2017 **Developmental Disabilities** Richland County, Ohio, Board for People with Invited Address: Nov. 2017 Developmental Disabilities Crawford County, Ohio, Board for People with Invited Address: Nov. 2017 **Developmental Disabilities** The Arc of New York Invited Address: Nov. 2017 American Bar Association Law and Aging Conf.: Oct 2017 Rutland, Vermont, Core Transition Team Invited Address: Oct. 2017 Abilities First, Missouri Annual Conference: Oct. 2017 **FACT Oregon** Annual Conference: Sept. 2017 Tennessee Inter-Agency Employment Invited Address: Sept. 2017 Roundtable

Page 44

Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts	Invited Address: Sept. 2017
and Judges Tennessee Board of Education Staff	Invited Address: Sept. 2017
Tennessee Protection and Advocacy	Invited Address: Sept. 2017
Tennessee Senate Judiciary Committee	Testimony: Sept. 2017
Pacific Northwest Law and Education Institute	Annual Conference: Sept. 2017
Tennessee Equal Justice Institute	Annual Conference: Aug. 2017
National Adult Protective Services Association	,
	Annual Conference: Aug. 2017
Utah Developmental Disabilities Council <u>Keynote Address and Breakout Session</u>	Annual Conference: July 2017
Kentucky Protection and Advocacy	Staff Training: June 2017
Mississippi Developmental Disabilities Council	Annual Conference: June 2017
Missouri Partners in Policymaking	Invited Address: June 2017
Minnesota World Elder Abuse Awareness Day <u>Keynote Address</u>	Annual Conference: June 2017
American University Washington College of Law	Classroom Lecture: June 2017
The Arc of Florida	Statewide Trainings: May 2017
Kentucky University Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities	Webinar: May 2017
Vermont Supported Decision-Making Task Force	Task Force Meeting: May 2017
Plenary Address and Facilitate Task Force	Mohinan April 0015
U.S. Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services	Webinar: April 2017
The Saks Institute for Mental Health Law, Policy, and Ethics	SDM Conference: April 2017
Tennessee Partners in Policy Making <u>Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions</u>	Annual Conference: March 2017
Tennessee Developmental Disabilities Council	Invited Address: March 2017
Tennessee Inter-Agency Task Force	Facilitate Task Force: March 2017
TennCare	Invited Address: March 2017
Tennessee Disability Policy Alliance	Invited Address: March 2017
National University of Ireland at Galway	Classroom Lecture: March 2017
Butler County, Ohio, County Board of Developmental Disabilities	Invited Address: March 2017
Ohio Superintendents of County Boards of Developmental Disabilities	Annual Conference: March 2017

## Keynote Address and Three Breakout Sessions

Washington DC Probate Court Judges Invited Address: March 2017
South Carolina Developmental Disabilities Invited Address: Feb. 2017
Council

Page 45

Impact South Carolina Invited Address: Feb. 2017 South Carolina Supported Decision-Making Invited Address: Feb. 2017

Task Force

South Carolina Partners in Policymaking Invited Address: Feb. 2017

National Resource Center for Supported SDM in Aging Conf. Feb. 2017

Decision-Making

Minnesota WINGS Workgroup Invited Address: Feb. 2017
American University, Washington College of Law Classroom Lecture: Feb. 2017

The Arc of Mississippi Invited Address: Jan. 2017
Mississippi WINGS Workgroup Invited Address: Jan. 2017
The Arc of Florida Invited Address: Jan. 2017

Indiana Governor's Council for People with Annual Conference: Dec. 2016

Disabilities Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions

Butler County, Ohio, Board for People with
Developmental Disabilities

Invited Address: Dec. 2016

Montana Youth in Transition Annual Conference: Nov. 2016 Keynote Address and Five Breakout Sessions

Vermont Department on Disabilities, Aging, and Task Force Meeting: Oct. 2016

Independent Living
Plenary Address and Facilitate Task Force

American Bar Association Law and Aging Conference: Oct. 2016

Autistic Self Advocacy Network Special Conference: Oct. 2016 Plenary Address

The Arc of Florida Annual Conference: Oct. 2016
American Bar Association Special Conference: Sept. 2016

Kansas Developmental Disabilities Council State Conference: Sept. 2016 Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions

Kentucky Guardianship Association Annual Conference: Sept. 2016 Plenary Address

Kentucky University Center for Excellence on Invited Address: Sept. 2016 Developmental Disabilities

Missouri County Departments on Developmental Invited Address: Aug. 2016

## **Disabilities**

National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys Annual Conference: Aug. 2016 Plenary Address National Resource Center for Supported Webinar: Aug. 2016 **Decision-Making** The Arc of Florida Webinar: July 2016 National Association of Councils on Annual Conference: July 2016 **Developmental Disabilities** Plenary Address and Breakout Session American Bar Association Webinar: June 2016 Webinar: June 2016 National Resource Center for Supported **Decision-Making** Washington Developmental Disabilities Council Annual Conference: June 2016 Vermont Supported Decision-Making Task Force Task Force Meeting: June 2016 Plenary Address and Facilitate Task Force Annual Conference: June 2016 **Vermont Care Partners Keynote Address** Missouri Developmental Disabilities Council Invited Address: June 2016 The Arc of North Carolina Invited Address: May 2016 Minnesota Department of Human Services Invited Address: May 2016 Supreme Court of Idaho Magistrate Conference: May 2016 Florida Guardian ad Litem Program Annual Conference: April 2016 Keynote Address and Two Breakout Sessions Delaware Developmental Disabilities Council Special Conference: April 2016 TMG of Wisconsin Statewide Conferences: April 2016 Semi-Annual Conf.: April 2016 Indiana Professional Management Group Jacobus tenBroek Symposium on Disability Law Annual Symposium: April 2016 Plenary Address AAIDD of Missouri State Conferences: Mar. 2016 The Arc of High Point, N.C. Invited Address: Mar. 2016 The Arc of Florida Webinar: Mar. 2016 U.S. Social Security Administration Invited Address: Feb. 2016 Invited Address: Feb. 2016 Colorado Developmental Disabilities Council **National Council on Disability** Invited Address: Feb. 2016 District of Columbia Public Defender Service Staff Training: Feb. 2016

American Civil Liberties Union California Supported Decision-Making

Symposium: Feb. 2016

Indiana Professional Management Group Webinar: Jan. 2016

Minnesota WINGS Workgroup Invited Address: Jan. 2016

TASH Annual Conference: Dec. 2015

Two Breakout Sessions

Virginia Education Law Task Force Invited Address: Nov. 2015

International Law Association Annual Conference: Nov. 2015

Wisconsin Board for People with Developmental Annual Conference: Nov. 2015

Disabilities

**Keynote Address and Three Breakout Sessions** 

National Resource Center for Supported Annual Conference: Nov. 2015

**Decision-Making** 

Panel Presentation and Closing Address

American Bar Association Law and Aging Conf.: Oct. 2015

University of Kentucky Human Development Invited Address: Oct. 2015

Institute

Kentucky Guardianship Association Annual Conference: Oct. 2015

Plenary Address

The Arc of Florida Annual Conference: Oct. 2015
Peninsula Center for Independent Living Annual Conference: Oct. 2015

Kevnote Address

National Consumer Law Center Webinar: Oct. 2015

District of Columbia Mental Health Law Invited Address: Oct. 2015

Association

New York Association for Independent Living

U.S. Administration for Community Living

Ombudsman Training: Sept. 2015

National Consumer Law Center Webinar: Sept. 2015

District of Columbia Aging and Disability Invited Address: Sept. 2015

Resource Center

The Arc of North Carolina Annual Conference: Sept. 2015

National Association of States United for Aging HCBS Conference: Sept. 2015

and Disabilities

Virginia Poverty Law Center Invited Address: Sept. 2015

AAIDD and The Arc National Goals Conf.: Aug. 2015

National Disability Institute Webinar: July 2015

National Association of State Directors of Webinar: July 2015 **Developmental Disabilities Services** National Association of Area Agencies on Aging Annual Conference: July 2015 **Association of People Supporting** Annual Conference: June 2015 EmploymentFirst National Resource Center for Supported Webinar: June 2015 **Decision-Making** Washington State WINGS Workgroup Invited Address: June 2015 Catholic University of America Invited Address: June 2015 Georgetown University Invited Address: June 2015 The Arc of North Carolina Special Conference: June 2015 **Keynote Address AAIDD** Annual Conference: June 2015 Wisconsin State WINGS Workgroup **Invited Address: May 2015** Florida Guardian Ad Litem Program Annual Conference: May 2015 **Keynote Address** National Resource Center for Supported Webinar: May 2015 **Decision-Making** Rutland, Vermont, Mental Health Services Staff Training: May 2015 Texas Guardianship Association Annual Conference: May 2015 Wisconsin Developmental Disabilities Council Invited Address: May 2015 American Bar Association Commission on Invited Address: April 2015 Law and Aging District of Columbia Bar Association Annual Conference: April 2015 National Resource Center for Supported Webinar: April 2015 **Decision-Making** New York and Mid-Atlantic Consortium Webinar: March 2015 for Genetic and Newborn Screening Services **COPAA** Annual Conference: March 2015 Keynote Address and Full-Day Seminar **TASH** Annual Conference: Dec. 2014 Plenary Address and Two Breakout Sessions Wisconsin Developmental Disabilities Council Invited Address: Nov. 2014 Howard County, Md. Department of Citizen Invited Address: Nov. 2014 Services Catholic University of America Invited Address: Oct. 2014

National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services	Annual Conference: Aug. 2014
The Arc of Virginia	Annual Conference: Aug. 2014
National Council on Disability	Invited Address: July 2014
National Association of Social Workers	Annual Conference: July 2014
Association of People Supporting EmploymentFirst (APSE) <u>Plenary Address and Breakout Session</u>	Annual Conference: July 2014
New York and Mid-Atlantic Consortium for Geneticand Newborn Screening Services	Annual Conference: May 2014
Jacobus tenBroek Symposium on Disability Law <u>Keynote Address and Breakout Session</u>	Annual Symposium: April 2014
American Civil Liberties Union	Annual Conference: April 2014
District of Columbia Public Schools	Invited Address: March 2014
U.S. Administration for Community Living	Invited Address: Dec. 2013
The Arc of Northern Virginia <u>Keynote Address</u>	Annual Conference: Nov. 2013
Quality Trust for Individuals with Disabilities	Annual Symposium: Oct. 2013
National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities	Annual Conference: Sept. 2013
The Arc of Virginia <a href="Plenary Address">Plenary Address and Breakout Session</a>	Annual Conference: Aug. 2013
Georgetown University Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities	Special Conference: June 2013
Special Needs Alliance	Annual Conference: April 2013
Children's Law Center	Invited Address: March 2013
Archdiocese of Washington, DC	Annual Conference: March 2013
Capstone Conference on Inclusive Education	Annual Conference: Jan. 2013
First Baptist Church of Hampton <u>Keynote Address</u>	Disability Awareness Day: July 2012
Various Organizations <u>"Special Education Boot Camp"</u>	Statewide Trainings: 2011-2012
Insight Enterprises, Inc. <u>Plenary Address</u>	Annual Disability Conf.: Oct. 2011
Hampton Roads Coalition of Centers for Independent Living <u>Keynote Address</u>	Annual Conference: Oct. 2009

Virginia Association of Licensed Child Placing Agencies	Annual Conference: May 2009
National Disability Rights Network	Annual Conference: June 2008
Virginia Indigent Defense Commission	Annual Conference: Jan. 2008
Virginia Council for the Blind	Annual Conference: Nov. 2007
National Disability Rights Network	Annual Conference: June 2006
J. Sergeant Reynolds College	Disability Rights Conf.: Oct. 2005
Virginia Board for People with Disabilities	Human Rights Conf.: April 2005
National Alliance for the Mentally Ill	Annual Conference: Oct. 2004
Tidewater Coalition on Disabilities <u>Keynote Address</u>	Annual Conference: April 2004
National Association of Protection and Advocacy Systems	Annual Conference: Jan. 2004
Virginia Hotel and Restaurant Association	Annual Conference: Dec. 2003
Virginia Recreation and Park Society	Annual Conference: Sept. 2003
Endependence Center, Inc. <u>Keynote Address</u>	Annual Conference: Dec. 2002
National Federation for the Blind	Annual Conference: Oct. 2002
Citizens United for the Rehabilitation of Errants	Annual Conference: Sept. 2002
National Alliance for the Mentally Ill	Annual Conference: May 2002
Greater Hampton Roads Council on Disabilities Keynote Address	Annual Conference: March 2002
Virginia State University	Invited Address: Dec. 2001
American Society for Healthcare Risk Management	Regional Conf.: Sept, Nov 2001
National Association of Protection and Advocacy Systems	Annual Conference: July 2000
National Association of Attorneys General	Annual Conference: June 2000

## **Media**

<u>Appeared on</u>: CBS News Sunday, PBS NewsHour, Bloomberg Law, ABC Australia, HuffPost Live, WABC (NY), WUDC (Washington, DC), WGBH (Boston), WXYZ (Detroit), KCTV (Kansas City, WOSU (Columbus, OH), WAVY (Norfolk, VA), WMBB (Panama City, Fl).

Quoted in: New York Times, Washington Post, USA Today, Time Magazine, New Yorker, Minneapolis Star Tribune, Vogue, Rolling Stone, The Week, Buzzfeed News, ABA Journal, The Mighty, Washingtonian, Refinery29, Mad in America, The Guam Daily Post, The Japan Times, Le Parisien (France), Il Post (Italy), Hamburger Morgen Post (Germany), Helsingin Sanomat (Finland), Tuol tre' (Viet Nam).